

Patent Application of

Dimitri P. M. Speck

for

**Method for Reiterative Betting Based on Supply and Demand of
Betting Shares**

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED MATERIAL

A portion of the disclosure of this patent contains material which is subject to copyright protection . The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction of by anyone of the patent document or patent disclosure as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to betting and financial instruments. More particularly, it relates to a method for betting on the outcome of any uncertain event, where the rate of return is determined by market forces. The method can be repeated periodically to update the rate of return as market forces change.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

There are many ways to bet or gamble on the outcome of uncertain events such as sporting events, market fluctuations or politics. Presently, betting requires that a bookmaker or broker take the bet and set odds based on perceived outcome probabilities. After the event takes place, bettors are compensated or relieved of their money.

Betting through a bookmaker has the disadvantage that bets cannot be updated. Betting by bookmaker cannot be easily reiterated. Also, a bettor cannot remove money from the bet before the event takes place. There is no mechanism for establishing the value of a bet before the event occurs.

It would be an advance in the art of betting and financial instruments to provide a highly adaptable method for placing bets on any event. It would also be an advance for the method to be reiterative so that bets could be updated at any time. Also, it would be an advance for the method to be controlled by market forces so that the betting method does not require assumptions on the likely outcome of the bet.

OBJECTS AND ADVANTAGES OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, it is a primary object of the present invention to provide a betting method that:

- 1) does not require a bookmaker;
- 2) does not require any knowledge of the event being bet upon to establish bet costs and payback odds;
- 3) relies upon supply and demand forces to establish betting odds;
- 4) relies upon supply and demand forces to determine the value of bets at any time before the event occurs;
- 5) enables iterative betting as investor can invest or withdraw at any time.

These and other objects and advantages will be apparent upon reading the following description and accompanying drawings.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

These objects and advantages are attained by a method for conducting a reiterative betting process for investors. In the present method, an uncertain future event is selected that has outcomes O_1, \dots, O_m , where $m \geq 2$. A betting machine receives bets B_1, \dots, B_m from investors. A total bet B_{tot} is defined as $B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_m = B_{tot}$. Numbers $OS(1), \dots, OS(m)$ of outcome shares are issued corresponding to the potential outcomes O_1, \dots, O_m . Each outcome has a corresponding outcome share group. Each outcome share group has the same number of shares. Next, quote values Q_1, \dots, Q_m are assigned for outcome shares based on the equations $Q_1 = B_1/B_{tot}, \dots, Q_m = B_m/B_{tot}$. Then, the outcome shares are distributed to the investors. After the event occurs, winning shares are defined. Winning shares are guaranteed to have a certain nonzero value.

In a preferred embodiment, the total bet B_{tot} is divided among the winning shares.

Also in a preferred embodiment, the winning shares comprise exactly one share group.

The present method can be reiterated by recalculating quote values Q_1, \dots, Q_m . The quote values are recalculated after new money is provided to the betting machine for new bets and after shares are returned to the machine by withdrawing investors.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of an embodiment of the method of the present invention;
 Fig. 2 shows a flow chart describing an embodiment of the method of the present invention;

Fig. 3 shows a flow chart of the present method that incorporates betting reiteration;

Fig. 4 shows an implementation of an embodiment of the present invention over a computer network; and

5 Fig. 5 shows a block diagram system for performing the reiterative betting method according to an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

10 The present invention provides a reiterative betting method that is adaptable to many different betting situations and financial instruments. The method allows for individual bettors to update their bets at certain specified times, and defines values for different bets based on market forces. Also, the betting method
 15 does not require that a betting machine (i.e., an automated 'bookie' facilitating the bets) have any knowledge of the events being bet upon. Market forces alone can determine the payouts (i.e., the 'odds') for the different event outcomes.

20 **Fig. 1** shows a schematic diagram of the reiterative betting method according to the present invention. A betting exchange machine 20 facilitates the exchange of money and shares between new investors 22 and leaving investors 24. New investors provide money to the betting machine and receive betting shares; leaving
 25 investors receive money in exchange for their betting shares. Placing new bets and removing old bets changes the value of shares. Alternatively, the machine may accept tokens, credits or some other easily exchangeable commodity in lieu of money.

30 The method of the present invention provides betting share prices for new investors and leaving investors according to an algorithm based on supply-and-demand principles.

Consider, for example, a simple betting machine designed for facilitating bets on the winner in a two-way election between two candidates Smith and Jones. In this bet, there are exactly two possible outcomes: a Smith victory, and a Jones victory.

5 Investors A, B, C, and D bet the following sums on the candidates:

Bets placed by investors		
Investor	Smith	Jones
A	\$5	0
B	\$9	0
C	0	\$7
D	0	\$11

10 According to the method of the invention, investors A and B are issued 'Smith' shares, and investors C and D are issued 'Jones' shares in exchange for money provided by investors A, B, C, and D. After the election, Smith shares will have zero value in case of a Jones victory, and Jones shares will have zero value in case of a Smith victory. Also, Smith shares are guaranteed to have a certain nonzero value in case of a Smith victory, and Jones shares are guaranteed to have a certain nonzero value in case of a Jones victory. Up until the time of the election, per-share value for a particular bet is determined according to the

15 following equation:

20

$$\text{Share price} = Q_1 = \frac{B_1}{B_{\text{Tot}}}.$$

25 Where B_1 is the amount of money bet on a particular outcome n (e.g. \$14 for Smith, or \$18 for Jones), and B_{tot} is the total amount of money in the betting system. In the present example B_{tot} is \$32.

For instance, each Smith share has a value of:

$$\text{Smith share} = \frac{\$14}{\$32} = \$0.4375.$$

- 5 Where \$14 (\$5 + \$9) was the amount bet on Smith, and \$32 is the total amount of money bet on both Smith and Jones.

Each Jones share has a value of:

10
$$\text{Jones share} = \frac{\$18}{\$32} = \$0.5625.$$

Where \$18 (\$7 + \$11) is the amount bet on Jones.

15 According to these share prices, investors A, B, C and D are given the following numbers of shares:

Investor	Cost per share	Number and type of shares purchased
A	\$0.4375	11.428, Smith
B	\$0.4375	20.571, Smith
C	\$0.5625	12.444, Jones
D	\$0.5625	19.555, Jones

- 20 It is important to note that the total number of Smith shares (11.428 + 20.571 = 32) is equal to the total number of Jones shares (12.444 + 19.555 = 32). In the case of a Smith victory, the Jones shares will be worthless and the Smith shares will be worth \$1 each. Similarly, in case of a Jones victory, the Smith shares will be worthless, and the Jones shares will be worth \$1 each.

25

Fig. 2 illustrates the method of the present invention in more general terms. In the method of the present invention, a betting

machine or system is provided that allows for bets to be made on an uncertain future event with m outcomes: O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m , where $m \geq 2$. In a first step, the outcomes O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m are defined. The outcomes can be based on any uncertain future event such as a sporting event, election, weather, financial markets or any other uncertain event. Furthermore, the uncertain event could occur in the present or past as long as the outcome of the event is in doubt during the betting process.

In a first betting cycle, bets B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m , are received for the outcomes O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m . Each bet B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m may represent a sub-total of bets from one or more investors. The bets B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m comprise a bet total B_{tot} , where $B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_m = B_{tot}$.

Next, outcome share groups OS_1, OS_2, \dots, OS_m are defined. The number of outcome shares in each outcome share group is the same; i.e. the number of outcome shares OS_1 for outcome (1) is equal to the number of outcome shares OS_m for outcome (m). In the Smith-Jones example above, the number of shares in an outcome share group is 32. The outcome shares in each share group are assigned quote values Q_1, \dots, Q_m . The quote values are defined according to the equations $Q_1 = (SV \cdot B_1) / B_{tot}$, \dots , $Q_m = (SV \cdot B_m) / B_{tot}$, where SV is a share value for the winning shares.

The outcome shares are then distributed to the investors in proportion to their investments so that the value of the shares purchased is equal to the amount of money invested. The value of the shares may increase or decrease after the event bet upon, or after repeated betting iterations, as explained below.

In one aspect of the invention, the investors hold the shares until the event bet upon occurs. The actual outcome AO of the event corresponds to winning shares WS selected from the outcome share groups OS_1, OS_2, \dots, OS_m . Typically, winning shares WS are

confined to exactly one outcome share group, but this is not necessarily so. Winning shares may be apportioned among two or more different outcomes in any suitable proportion. Once winning shares WS are determined, the winning shares are declared to have normalized values such that:

$$(NWS) * (SV) = B_{tot},$$

where NWS is the number of winning shares. Therefore, the total amount of money in the system is distributed among the winning shares WS. In the above Smith-Jones example, the total bet B_{tot} is divided among the Smith shares in case of a Smith victory, and the total bet B_{tot} is divided among the Jones shares in case of a Jones victory.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the winning shares WS comprise exactly one outcome share group. Other share groups are declared worthless. The total bet B_{tot} is distributed among the outcome share group comprising the winning shares.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the number of shares in each share group is equal to the number of dollars in the total bet B_{tot} (32 in the Smith-Jones example). In this way, the value of the shares SV in the winning share group is exactly one unit of currency such as \$1, 1 euro, etc. Of course, other values for winning shares can be predetermined. In the case where winning shares have a predetermined \$1 share value, the sum of the quote values for the different share groups must add up to \$1 (e.g., in the first Smith-Jones iteration, \$0.4375 + \$0.5625 = \$1).

In the present invention, the betting process can be reiterated many times before the betting event takes place. In this embodiment, the betting process is reiterated at certain times

and money and shares are exchanged. During a particular betting period the investors invest a sum $IM(i)$ on the outcome O_i for an event. In return, the betting machine gives out total a number $OS(i)$ of shares to the investors for each outcome O_i . Investors withdrawing from the event return a number $IS(i)$ of shares for outcome O_i . In return the machine pays out a sum of money $OM(i)$ to the investors withdrawing from event i .

The preferred embodiment of the betting algorithm requires that:

- i) the number of outstanding shares be the same for each event i ;
- ii) The invested money must be able to cover all potential claims;
- iii) Investors buying in get the same quote as withdrawing investors; and

For example, in the first period the sum of all money invested is:

$IM_{tot} = IM(1) + IM(2) + IM(3) + \dots$. Similarly, the sum of all money returned by the machine in a given betting period is given by $OM_{tot} = OM(1) + OM(2) + OM(3) + \dots$. In any given period, the machine retains a total amount of money $IM_{tot} - OM_{tot}$. Condition ii requires that all $OS(i) = IM_{tot}$. The number of outstanding shares of each event changes by an amount $OS(i) - IS(i)$. Condition i requires that in each period the differences $OS(i) - IS(i)$ are the same for all events i . The quote Q_i for an event i is given by:

$Q_i = IM(i) / OS(i)$. For a given betting period the unknowns are the $OS(i)$'s, the $OM(i)$'s and the Q_i 's.

In any given betting period the betting machine keeps an additional amount of money ΔM given by

$$\Delta M = IM(1) + IM(2) + IM(3) + \dots - OM(1) - OM(2) - OM(3) \dots$$

In any given betting period the number of shares for a given event i changes by an amount ΔS_i given by:

$$\Delta S_i = OS(i) - IS(i)$$

5

Because of condition i, all ΔS_i must be equal, i.e.:

$$OS(1) - IS(1) = OS(2) - IS(2) = OS(3) - IS(3), \text{ etc.}$$

10 This is equivalent to

$$OS(2) = OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(2)$$

$$OS(3) = OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(3) \dots$$

$$OS(i) = OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(i)$$

15

Because of condition iii, the quotes of the events are:

$$Q_i = \frac{IM(i)}{OS(i)} = \frac{OM(i)}{IS(i)}$$

20

which reduces to

$$OM(i) = \frac{IM(i) \cdot IS(i)}{OS(i)} \text{ for event } i.$$

Specifically:

25

$$OM(1) = \frac{IM(1) \cdot IS(1)}{OS(1)}$$

and

$$OM(i) = \frac{IM(i) \cdot IS(i)}{OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(i)}$$

30

From condition ii, it follows that:

$$OS(1) - IS(1) = IM(1) + IM(2) + IM(3) + \dots - OM(1) - OM(2) - OM(3) - \dots$$

and from the above equations, it follows that

$$OS(1) = IS(1) + IM(1) + IM(2) + IM(3) + \dots$$

5

$$-\frac{IM(1) \cdot IS(1)}{OS(1)} - \frac{IM(2) \cdot IS(2)}{OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(2)} - \frac{IM(3) \cdot IS(3)}{OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(3)} - \dots$$

The above equation is a betting machine polynomial for event 1. In this equation, only $OS(1)$ is unknown and can be found by determining the correct root of the polynomial. The corresponding quote value for event 1 is $Q_1 = IM(1)/OS(1)$. Incorrect roots can be eliminated by the following conditions:

10

- a) The quote values Q_i must be between 0 and 1.
- b) The sum of all quotes Q_i must be equal to 1.
- c) The Q_i , $OS(i)$, and $OM(i)$ must be positive numbers,
- d) The correct root must be a real number, etc.

15

The unknown $OM(1)$ can be determined from

$$OM(1) = \frac{IM(1) \cdot IS(1)}{OS(1)}$$

20

Once $OS(1)$ and Q_1 are determined, the $OS(i)$ and Q_i values can be determined using $OS(i) = OS(1) - IS(1) + IS(i)$ and $Q_i = IM(i)/OS(i)$.

25

Generally, if there are n different events, the machine must compute n different quotes. In general the machine must calculate the solution of a polynomial having $n+1$ roots. The above example is used for the purpose of illustration only. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the same procedure can be used to determine any of the $OS(i)$ values. A printout of a computer code that implements the above algorithm is attached as an appendix. The code in the appendix is protected by a copyright to the present inventor.

30

Note, the case where one of the $IM(i)=0$ generally involves a more complex code. Some rare cases of $IM(i)=0$ currently defy solution for mathematical reasons. To simplify the solution of the Q_i 's the case of $IM(i)=0$ may be excluded. For example if no real investor invests in event i , a minimum amount, e.g., \$1, may be invested by an "artificial" participant organized by the operator of the investor for the event.

In the Smith-Jones election example, the initial bets are:

Investor	Smith	Jones
A	\$5	0
B	\$9	0
C	0	\$7
D	0	\$11

To illustrate the present method of reiterative betting, the following changes occur in a second iteration of the Smith-Jones bet. Dollar values in the table indicate new money contributed to the machine. Investors E and F are new investors.

Investor	Smith, first iteration	Jones, first iteration	Smith, second iteration	Jones, second iteration
A	\$5	0	\$3	0
B	\$9	0	Redeem 10 shares	0
C	0	\$7	0	\$6
D	0	\$11	0	Redeem 10 shares
E	0	0	\$8	0
F	0	0	0	\$12

The total amount of money in machine after the first iteration is: $B_{tot} = \$5 + \$9 + \$7 + \$11 = \$32$. In the preferred embodiment this is equal to the number of shares outstanding.

5 It is important to note that before money is paid to investors B and D for redeemed shares, new, reassigned quote values must be calculated for Smith and Jones shares. This is done by recalculating the money and shares in each bet. To illustrate, the following represents the status of the betting machine after
10 the second iteration:

The total money bet on Smith is: $5 + 9 + 3 + 8 = \$25$

The total money bet on Jones is: $7 + 11 + 6 + 12 = \$36$

15 The total money in machine after the second iteration, but before payout for redeemed shares is: $25 + 36 = \$61$

In the second iteration, the conditions are as follows

Smith: 1, $IM(1) = 3 + 8 = 11$, $IS(1) = 10$

Jones: 2, $IM(2) = 6 + 12 = 18$ $IS(2) = 10$.

20 In this example, the condition $IS(1) = IS(2)$ was chosen for the sake of simplicity.

25 The unknowns for the second iteration are Q_1 , Q_2 , $OM(1)$, $OM(2)$, $OS(1)$, and $OS(2)$.

Because of condition i, $OS(1) - IS(1) = OS(2) - IS(2)$. However, since in this case $IS(1) = IS(2)$, it is clear that $OS(1) = OS(2)$.

30 Because of condition iii: $Q_1 = \frac{IM(1)}{OS(1)} = \frac{OM(1)}{IS(1)}$.

By plugging in the known values of $IM(1)$ and $IS(1)$ we obtain

$$\frac{11}{OS(1)} = \frac{OM(1)}{10} \Rightarrow OM(1) = \frac{110}{OS(1)} = \frac{110}{OS(2)}.$$

Similarly:

$$Q_1 = \frac{IM(2)}{OS(2)} = \frac{OM(2)}{IS(2)}, \text{ which yields } \frac{18}{OS(2)} = \frac{OM(2)}{10} \Rightarrow OM(2) = \frac{180}{OS(2)}$$

5

Because of condition ii:

$OS(1) - IS(1) = IM(1) + IM(2) - OM(1) - OM(2)$, or

$OS(1) - 10 = 11 + 18 - OM(1) - OM(2)$, which yields:

$$OS(1) = 39 - \frac{110}{OS(2)} - \frac{180}{OS(2)}.$$

10

which simplifies to

$$OS(1) = 39 - \frac{290}{OS(2)}$$

15

Using the fact that $OS(1) = OS(2)$, we obtain a quadratic,

$OS(1)^2 - 39 \cdot OS(1) + 290 = 0$, which can be solved using the well-known quadratic formula.

The solution, $OS(1) = \frac{39}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{39}{2}\right)^2 - 290}$ yields two roots.

20

$$OS(1) = 10 \text{ and } OS(1) = 29.$$

Only one of these roots is meaningful. For example, the root $OS(1) = 10$ would lead to a Smith quote value

25

$$Q_1 = \frac{IM(1)}{OS(1)} = \frac{11}{10} = 1.1,$$

which is greater than 1 and is meaningless because of condition a. On the other hand, the root $OS(1) = 29$ is the correct root, which yields a Smith quote value:

30

$$Q_1 = \frac{IM(1)}{OS(1)} = \frac{11}{29} = 0.3793,$$

Furthermore, since $OS(1)=OS(2)$, we obtain a Jones quote value:

$$Q_2 = \frac{IM(2)}{OS(2)} = \frac{18}{29} = 0.6207$$

The calculations are simplified by the fact that $OS(1)=OS(2)$. Those skilled in the mathematical arts will recognize that in the more general case, when $OS(1) \neq OS(2)$, the algorithm produces third order polynomial relating $OS(1)$ and $OS(2)$.

It is noted that investors B and D cannot know the quote values for their redeemed shares until the betting machine has received new money from other investors. In one embodiment of the invention, new quote values are calculated before leaving investors redeem their shares. In this way, leaving investors know the quote values for their shares before they are redeemed.

After the new quote values are calculated, money is paid to investors B and D for the redeemed shares. Investor B receives $(10) * (\$0.3793) = \3.793 , and investor D receives $(10) * (\$0.6207) = \6.207 . Thus, the investors B and D remove a total of $\$3.793 + \$6.207 = \$10$ from the machine. At the same time investors A, C, E, and F respectively add $\$3 + \$6 + \$8 + \12 for a total of $\$29$ to the machine. Thus, the amount of money in the machine increases by $\$29 - \$10 = \$19$. The total amount of money in the machine after the second iteration after payout for redeemed shares is: $B_{tot}' = \$32 + \$19 = \$51$.

The change in the number of Smith shares outstanding after the second iteration is determined as follows. B redeemed 10 Smith shares. A has purchased $3 / 0.3793 = 7.9093$ Smith shares and E

has purchased $8/0.3793=21.091$ Smith shares which sum to a total of 29 shares that are added to the machine. After subtracting off the 10 shares redeemed by B we obtain a total change of +19 Smith shares outstanding for the second iteration. These shares are added to the 32 Smith shares outstanding from the first iteration for a total of 51 Smith shares outstanding after the second iteration. This is the same number as the total amount of money in the machine. A similar calculation yields $32+(6+12)/0.6207-10=51$ for the number of Jones shares outstanding after the second iteration.

This process can be repeated as often as desired up until the future event bet upon occurs: every hour, day or week. Every time the betting process is reiterated, new investors can join, leaving investors can leave, new money can be added to previous bets, and shares can be redeemed for money. The share prices reflect investor expectation of the future event, and these share prices dictate the cost of entering the bet, and the money paid out to those leaving the bet.

To further illustrate the operation of the reiterative betting method of the present invention, consider the example of 5 sports teams, V, W, X, Y, Z, competing in a tournament that will decide a single winner. On a particular day, the betting machine receives the following bets from a number of investors:

For team V: \$1,500
For team W: \$20,000
For team X: \$25,000
For team Y: \$50,000
For team Z: \$80,000

In the same iteration, the machine receives the following shares from investors:

Team V shares redeemed: 1,000
 Team W shares redeemed: 80,000
 Team X shares redeemed: 10,000
 5 Team Y shares redeemed: 20,000
 Team Z shares redeemed: 45,000

The betting machine calculates the following quote values by solving a betting exchange polynomial of order 6:

10 Team V quote value per share: 0.01047
 Team W quote value per share: 0.08998
 Team X quote value per share: 0.16419
 Team Y quote value per share: 0.30814
 15 Team Z quote value per share: 0.42721

For the investors who contributed \$80,000 for team Z shares, the betting machine provides 187,262 team Z shares because $187,262 = 80,000 / 0.42721$. To the investors who redeemed 45,000 team Z shares, the betting machine pays out \$19,224 because $19,224 = 45,000 * 0.42721$. A total of $142,262 = 187,262 - 45,000$ team Z shares are newly outstanding.

25 For the investors who contributed \$50,000 for team Y shares, the betting machine provides 162,263 team Y shares because $162,263 = 50,000 / 0.30814$. To the investors who redeemed 20,000 team Y shares, the betting machine pays out \$6,162 because $6,162 = 20,000 * 0.30814$. A total of $142,262 = 162,263 - 20,000$ team Y shares are newly outstanding. This is the same number as for
 30 team Z.

This calculation is repeated for each of the other 3 teams.

Fig. 3 shows a flow chart of the present method where the betting machine operates through more than one betting iteration. In the present method, there is no limit on the number of iterations that can be performed.

5

The present betting method assures that the betting machine always has exactly enough money to pay out to the bet winner. The present betting method is a zero-sum method that takes money from bet losers and gives money to bet winners according to a precise algorithm. The amount of money held by the machine is always exactly what is needed to fulfill all investors' potential claims. Alternatively, the machine can hold more money than required to fulfill investors' claims.

10

There are many possible variations on the present betting method. In the embodiments described, the machine is left with zero money after all shares are redeemed. Alternatively, the machine can have money left over after the shares are redeemed. This provides a mechanism for the machine to make money. This can be accomplished by imposing a fee or surcharge for share purchases or redemptions. The fee can be proportional to the value of bets, for example.

20

In most cases, exactly one share group is defined as comprising all the winning shares. However, in alternative embodiments, combinations of shares can be defined as the winning shares. For example, in a case where two share groups are defined as winning shares, the total bet B_{tot} can be divided among the two winning share groups. The total bet B_{tot} can be divided among the two share groups equally or unequally. Also, the total bet B_{tot} can be divided among more than two winning share groups.

25

30

A new investor purchasing shares can place limit orders that define a maximum share price the investor is willing to accept.

Similarly, an investor can specify a stop-limit on the minimum prices acceptable for redeeming shares. This is particularly useful because share prices cannot be guaranteed before a new betting iteration is performed. Share prices cannot be guaranteed because share prices are determined only after the betting machine receives money from new investors.

Fig. 4 illustrates a preferred implementation of the present invention over a computer network **30**. In this embodiment, computer terminals **32, 34, 36** are connected to a betting machine computer **38** over the network **30**. The betting machine computer **38** has a computing unit **40** that performs calculations for share quote values and exchanges between shares and money. The betting machine **38** may include an artificial investor or bettor **41** to enter a nominal investment as described above.

Fig. 5 shows a system for conducting a reiterative betting process according to the present invention. The system has a betting exchange unit **40**, a computation unit **42** within the betting exchange unit **40**, and connections **44** between investors **46** and the betting exchange unit. The connections **44** can comprise any kind of communications connection such as an Internet connection, local computer network connection, or telephone connection. In operation, the investors **46** give money to the betting exchange unit **40** and receive shares through the connections **44**. The computation unit calculates share quote values to provide exchanges between money and shares.

Given that the share prices must be calculated AFTER new money is provided to the betting machine and shares are redeemed, a new investors are generally unable to determine the price of the shares being purchased. Similarly existing investors redeeming shares will generally be unable to determine the value of the shares being redeemed. For example, an investor may want to

place a bet on a betting machine that reiterates every day, and has had an ongoing bet for several days. The investor cannot be sure what share price for the shares being bought. The price of shares depends upon how much other money and how many other shares are 'waiting is the wings'. The investor's own purchase or redemption of shares will also affect the share price. A large purchase by an investor will necessarily increase the price of the shares purchased. Similarly redemption of a large number of shares will necessarily decrease the price of the shares being redeemed. Therefore, it will generally be impossible for an investor to calculate a winning rate of return before buying or redeeming shares.

There are methods to deal with this problem. For example, outstanding, 'unconsummated' bets can be combined with the money and shares in the betting machine so that a PROJECTED share price can be posted. Of course, a large bet or redemption by an individual will change the share prices in the present reiteration. It seems then that individuals may use this to their advantage by pulling their bets or redemptions at the last possible moment, thereby strategically affecting the share prices.

It will be clear to one skilled in the art that the above embodiment may be altered in many ways without departing from the scope of the invention. For example, those skilled in the art will be able to devise algorithms in which new bet outcomes can be added or removed during an iteration. Combinations of shares could be defined as winning shares and a consolation prize could be paid for losing shares. Furthermore, variations on rules i-iii and a-d may be established. For example, the machine may hold more money than necessary to cover all bets. The number of shares for each event may be different, e.g., due to rounding. Alternatively, outstanding shares for a particular

event may be split to reduce the share price and final claim. A new investor could declare a limit for the quote he would accept. A withdrawing investor could place a stop loss for the quote on shares he redeems. The machine may select a higher quote than calculated to obtain more assets. The quotes may be different for new shares as opposed to redeemed shares, e.g. quotes for new investors can be rounded up and quotes for new investors can be rounded down. Furthermore, the payout for one share need not be fixed, e.g. by combining the betting machine payout with a lottery. Accordingly, the scope of the invention should be determined by the following claims and their legal equivalents.